

F.No.NA-102/2/2021-NA
Government of India
Ministry of Coal
O/o. the Nominated Authority

R.No.120-F, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
Dated : 09.02.2022

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject : Circulation of minutes of the TEC meeting held on 04.02.2022 regarding evaluation of single bids received under 13th Tranche of CMSP Act and 3rd Tranche under MMDR Act.

.....

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject mentioned above and to circulate herewith the approved minutes of the above-mentioned meeting for information and necessary action.



(Manish Uniyal)

Under Secretary to the Government of India
Tel : 23384106

To,

1. Shri B.P. Pati, Joint Secretary (P&S), MoC
2. Shri A. Bharti, Economic Adviser, MoC
3. Shri Mrs. Santosh, Deputy Director General, MoC
4. Shri Ajitesh Kumar, Director, MoC
5. Transaction Adviser to NA.
6. LE (MB), LC (PD), MoC

Copy for information to :-

PSO to Secretary (Coal) / PPS to AS (Coal) / PPS to AS & NA

**Minutes of 2nd Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held on February 04, 2022 regarding
evaluation of single bids received under 13th Tranche CMSP and 3rd Tranche MMDR**


First meeting of technical evaluation committee was held on January 25, 2022, to evaluate the single bids received in 3rd Tranche of auction under MMDR Act and 13th Tranche of auction under CM(SP) Act. Based on the discussions and decision taken during the meeting, clarifications were sought from the bidders on or before 1600 Hrs of January 31, 2022. The clarifications as received from bidders were examined and the brief of the discussions held during the meeting is provided below:

1. SBICAP briefed the committee members about the issues raised during the last meeting and submitted their observations on the clarifications received. The mine wise issues and clarifications received along with the recommendations of the TEC members have been placed at **Annexure I**.
2. Members of the TEC discussed the observations made by the SBICAP and examined the additional clarifications submitted by the bidders.
3. The TEC was informed that, SFMS confirmations regarding genuineness of the Bid Security submitted by the bidders have been received and are found to be in order.
4. The TEC was informed that, confirmation regarding that bidder who is a Prior Allottee and not convicted of an offence relating to coal block allocation and sentenced with imprisonment for more than 3 (three) years, has been received and no bidder is convicted of the offence related to coal block allocation.
5. TEC was further informed about the following:
 - a. that confirmation regarding disqualification of any bidder by the Nominated Authority from participating in any future auction or allotment process conducted by the Nominated Authority for a period of 2 years and that the additional levy is not pending for any of the bidders, is received and no bidder has been disqualified by the Office of Nominated Authority.
6. Based on above, TEC recommends mine-wise final list of Technically Qualified Bidders (TQB) and same is placed at **Annexure-II**.
7. The meeting ended with vote of thanks.


(Mr. B. P. Pati)


(Mr. Animesh Bharti)


(Ms. Santosh)


(Mr. Ajitesh Kumar)

Annexure I: Mine wise Issues

1. Ashok Karkatta Central Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	Bidder Submission & Issues (if any)	Additional Clarification	TEC Recommendation
1	279626	Chennai Radha Engineering Works Private Limited	1. Board Resolution	The official who is authorized to issue the Power of Attorney has not been mentioned in the Board Resolution. The power of attorney has been issued by S. Venkatesan, Chairman. Certified true copy of the board resolution, authorising Mr. S. Venkatesan to issue the power of attorney shall be sought.	The bidder has submitted the board resolution authorizing Mr. Venkatesan Sambandam to issue the PoA. However, the PoA was issued by Mr. S. Venkatesan. An undertaking shall be sought from the bidder stating that both the persons are one and same.	As per Board Resolution, Mr. Venkatesan Sambandam is authorized to issue the power of attorney however as per PoA, Mr. S. Venkatesan is issuing the power of attorney. An undertaking stating that both Mr. Venkatesan Sambandam and Mr. S. Venkatesan are one and the same person shall be sought.	The bidder has submitted the undertaking. The bidder may be declared as TQB

2. Jaganathpur A Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	282170	Kalinga Infra Projects Limited	1. Bid Security	Under clause 7, the bidder was required to mention "Vesting Order", however bidder has mentioned "Allocation Order". Amendment to the original bank guarantee with the corrections shall be sought.	The bidder has submitted amendment to the original bid security. The bidder may be declared as TQB.

3. Parbatpur Central Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	64836	JSW Steel Limited	1. Affidavit	The company stamp has not been affixed on the affidavit. Fresh affidavit shall be sought with company stamp affixed on it.	The bidder has submitted the fresh affidavit with company stamp affixed on it. The bidder may be declared as TQB.

4. Maiki North Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	239462	Maiki South Mining Private Limited	1. Bank Guarantee	1. The BG covering letter submitted by Yes Bank mentions validity period of BG upto 10-July-2022. However, under clause 4 of the submitted BG the validity period of BG mentioned as 12-July-2022.	As per legal opinion submitted by Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas wherein they submitted that <i>"In such case of discrepancy in the guaranteed amount as mentioned in the covering letter and as mentioned in the bank guarantee executed on a stamp paper, the latter shall prevail. The courts in India give favorable consideration to treat stamped documents as the final and binding understanding between the parties vis-à-vis an unstamped document/cover letter"</i> , hence the bid may be accepted. The bidder may be declared as TQB.

5. Koyagudem Block – III Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	151187	Auro Coal Private Limited	1. Affidavit	Bidder has submitted Annexure IV: Letter comprising technical bid, PAN, TAN, GST physically as well, however the same has not been mentioned under clause 2b of the submitted affidavit.	The documents submitted by the bidder but not mentioned in the affidavit are additional documents and not mandatory required documents. Hence, the bid may be accepted. The bidder may be declared as TQB.

6. Barra Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	64845	Bharat Aluminium Company Limited	1. Board Resolution	The bidder company has submitted colour scanned certified true copy of the board resolution instead original BR.	The Board Resolution submitted by the bidder has been issued by the Company Secretary and is a certified true copy. Hence, the bid may be accepted. The bidder may be declared as TQB.

7. Kasta (East) Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	281019	Jitusol Developers Private Limited	No Issues	No Issues	Since there are no issues in the bid. Therefore, the bid may be accepted. The bidder may be declared as TQB.

8. Marki Barka Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	282581	Amaltas Aviation Services Private Limited	No Issue	No Issue	Since there are no issues in the bid. Therefore, the bid may be accepted. The bidder may be declared as TQB.

9. Thesgora-B/ Rudrapuri Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	282581	Amaltas Aviation Services Private Limited	No Issue	No Issue	Since there are no issues in the bid. Therefore, the bid may be accepted. The bidder may be declared as TQB.

10. Basantpur Coal Mine

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
1	787652	Gangaramchak Mining Private Limited	1. Board Resolution 2. Power of Attorney 3. Affidavit 4. Bid Security	1. Board Resolution - As per submitted Board Resolution it is not specifically mentioned who can issue the Power of Attorney in whose favour. 2. Power of Attorney - (a) The common seal has not been affixed on the submitted Power of Attorney	The bidder has not submitted the bid security as part of the online bid and since it is one of the mandatory documents to be submitted as part of the bid. Therefore, the bid may be rejected. Technical bid may be rejected.

S. No.	MSTC Regn No.	Bidder Name	Type of Issue	Issues	TEC Recommendation
				<p>(b) The person who is authorised to issue PoA as per BR has not signed the submitted PoA.</p> <p>3. Affidavit - (a) In the submitted affidavit the bidder has done the correction with whitener ink.</p> <p>(b) Bidder has submitted Annexure IV: Letter comprising technical bid physically as well, however the same has not been mentioned under clause 2b of the submitted affidavit.</p> <p>(c) The submitted Affidavit is not as per the format provided in the tender document.</p> <p>4. Bid Security – Bidder has not submitted the Bid Security online, instead submitted a declaration stating that BG will be submitted as per tender document.</p>	

Annexure II: Mine-wise list of Technically Qualified Bidders (TQB's)

1. Ashok Karkatta Central Coal Mine

S. No	Name of the Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Chennai Radha Engineering Works Private Limited	May be declared as TQB

2. Jaganathpur A Coal Mine

S. No	Name of the Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Kalinga Infra Projects Limited	May be declared as TQB

3. Parbatpur Central Coal Mine

S. No	Name of the Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	JSW Steel Limited	May be declared as TQB

4. Maiki North Coal Mine

S. No	Name of the Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Maiki South Mining Private Limited	May be declared as TQB

5. Koyagudem Block – III Coal Mine

S. No	Name of the Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Auro Coal Private Limited	May be declared as TQB

6. Barra Coal Mine

S. No	Name of the Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Bharat Aluminium Company Limited	May be declared as TQB

7. Kasta (East) Coal Mine

S. No	Name of the Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Jitusol Developers Private Limited	May be declared as TQB

8. Marki Barka Coal Mine

S. No	Name of the Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Amaltas Aviation Services Private Limited	May be declared as TQB

9. Thesgora-B/ Rudrapuri Coal Mine

S. No	Name of Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Amaltas Aviation Services Private Limited	May be declared as TQB



10. Basantpur Coal Mine

S. No	Name of Bidder	TEC Recommendations
1.	Gangaramchak Mining Private Limited	Technical bid may be rejected

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten mark]

[Handwritten mark]

[Handwritten mark]